

1

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,360	02/26/2002	Hyun Kim	P56639	2437	
7590 03/19/2007 Robert E. Bushnell		EXAMINER			
Suite 300 1522 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005			GIBBS, HEATHER D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Ç ,		2625			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/082,360	KIM, HYUN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Heather D. Gibbs	2625		

	Heather D. Gibbs	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing  b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);				
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		inpliant / incliancine	(1 1 OL OL+).			
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>4,6-8,17,18,20 and 29-34</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,9-16,19 and 21-28</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13.  Other:	4	LE				
	SUPERVISORY	IG S. MOE	₽,			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the black path is in separate document to be scanned. The examiner finds this limitation to be taught in Tsai Col 2 Lines 57-63 where the document 36 has a white background with a test paper that is a black bias 37. Second, Applicant argues only a single value is used in the comparison for error..., rather than a plurality of values. In Col 4 Lines 45-48, Tsai teaches, one value is used at a time however a plurality of values is compared against a predetermined gate value. Third, Applicant argues In Tsai, the top edge line is not necessarily used as P 1, P2, P3 is a boundary point between the black and white region in general, but does not necessarily look at from a top edge line. Examiner finds this means, as though not mandated, the top edge line can be used as boundary point between the black and white regions in general. Fourth, Applicant argues, Tsai does not look to reading the first pixel by reading the white shading plate of the scanner, but concerns the document included for scanning. Upon further review, the Examiner finds this limitation to be taught in Col 3 Lines 5-15. Fifth, Applicant argues, no adjustment is given. Examiner would like to point applicant's attention to Col 1 Lines 22-31. Sixth, Applicant argues No specific disclosure is made concerning the gate value in Tsai and whether that specifically concerns the pattern of the black patch. Specific Disclosure is given at Col 2 Line 64-Col 3 Line 15 and Col 4 Line 45-56. Seventh, Applicant argues, the apparatus does not necessarily include the memory for predetermined value. However, evidence of memory can be found in Col 2 Line 64-Col 3 Line 15 and Col 4 Line 45-56.